

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/580,023	Applicant(s) POULIQUEN ET AL.
	Examiner SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE	Art Unit 1654
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Laskoski</u> .	
(2) <u>Ms. Lacy Kolo</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>01 August 2011</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i> Claims discussed: <i>25</i> Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Laskoski was contacted with an examiner's amendment to change the title of the application and to cancel the claim 25 in the Examiner's amendment mailed 6/3/11. Mr. Laskoski agreed to the proposed amendments on 8/1/11.</i>		
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> /SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654 </div> <div style="width: 45%; text-align: right;"> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		